UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
		v. Delvon Tolbert	Case No. 1:14-cr-00138-PLM			
		Defendant				
that t		fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail I efendant be detained pending trial.	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require			
		Part I –	Findings of Fact			
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of a federal offense a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had existed – that is				
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for			
an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.						
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:					
		a felony committed after the defendant had U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable st	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ate or local offenses.			
		any felony that is not a crime of violence but	involves:			
		a minor victim	m or destructive device or any other depression weepen			
		a failure to register under 18 U.S	m or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon c.C. § 2250			
	(2)		while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state			
	(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since th offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the			
	(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable pre- person or the community. I further find that defend	umption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another ant has not rebutted that presumption.			
	Alternative Findings (A)					
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defend	ant has committed an offense			
		for which a maximum prison term of ten yea Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et				
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).				
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption e will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance	stablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.			
/	(4)		ive Findings (B)			
▼	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not a				
	(2)		nger the safety of another person or the community.			

Part II – Statement of the Reasons for Detention

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by

clear and convincing evidence ____ a preponderance of the evidence that:

- 1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest detention at this time.
- 2. Defendant has been in state custody and would not be released in any case.
- 3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	August 4, 2014	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate	ludge